

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address of OMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington D.C. 20221

APPLICATION NO	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09 886,254	06/22/2001	Mikhail Markovich Gusyatiner	209870US0	5538
22850	7590 02.28.2002			
OBLON SPIVAK MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT PC FOURTH FLOOR 1755 JEFFERSON DAVIS HIGHWAY			EXAMINER	
			LILLING, HERBERT J	
ARLINGIO	N, VA 22202		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1651	
			DATE MAILED: 02/28/2002	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 09/886,254 GUSYATINER ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit HERBERT J LILLING 1651 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely It NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U S C § 133) Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b) **Status** Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>21 December 2001</u> 1)[\_\_ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 3-6 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 3-6 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. **Application Papers** 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_ 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 48.

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).

Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 1651

1. Receipt is acknowledged of the election response filed December 21, 2001.

2. Claims 1-6 are now pending in the instant application.

3. Applicant has elected with traverse, Invention I, claims 1-2, drawn to an Escherichia coli bacterium microorganism which has the ability to produce arginine and utilize acetate.

Claims 3-6 have been withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to non-elected invention(s), the requirement having been traversed in Paper No. 7 filed December 21, 2001.

Inventions I and II are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as a product microorganism that can produce proline amino acids—and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior

Art Unit: 1651

art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case as stated in the previous Office action to produce drugs or other amino acids like proline. .

## 4. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because

a.> The claims are within the scope of a product of nature;

and

b.> The claims are duplicate of each other.

## 5. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to

Art Unit: 1651

make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the best mode contemplated by the inventor is not enabled in view of the lack of the microorganism is not available to one skilled in the art to make and use commensurate in scope with the best mode as indicated by Applicant in the examples in the specification, see the following paragraphs:

It is apparent that the best mode—to practice the claimed invention(s) as recited in the claims requires strain(s) which are not—known and readily available to the public or obtainable by a repeatable method set forth in the specification. If it is not so obtainable or available, the enablement requirements of 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, may be satisfied by a deposit of—the strain(s). See 37 C. F. R. 1.802.

The specification does not provide a repeatable method for obtaining the strain(s) and it does not appear to be a readily available material. Deposit of strain(s) would satisfy the enablement requirements of 35 U.S.C. 112. If a deposit has been made, Applicant is required to meet the necessary criteria of the deposit rules in accordance with 37 CFR 1.801-37 CFR 1.809.

If a deposit has not been supplied or made under the Budapest Treaty, then an affidavit or declaration by Applicants or someone associated with the patent owner who is in a position to make such assurances, or a statement by an attorney of record over his or her signature, stating that the deposit has been made under the terms of the Budapest Treaty **and that** 

Art Unit: 1651

**all restrictions** imposed by the depositor on the availability to the public of the deposited material will be **irrevocably removed** upon the granting of a patent, would satisfy the deposit requirements. See 37 CFR 1.808.

If a deposit is not made under the terms of the Budapest Treaty, then an affidavit or declaration by Applicants or someone associated with the patent owner who is in a position to make such assurances, or a statement by an attorney of record over his or her signature, stating that the deposit has been made at an acceptable depository and that the following criteria have been met:

- a) during the pendency of the application, access to the deposit will be afforded to one determined by the Commissioner to be entitled thereto;
- b) all restrictions imposed by the depositor on the availability to the public of the deposited material **will be irrevocably** removed upon the granting of a patent;
- c) the deposit will be maintained for a term of at least thirty (30) years and at least five (5) years after the most recent request for the furnishing of a sample of the deposited material;

Art Unit: 1651

d) a viability statement in accordance with the provisions of

37 CFR 1.807:

and

e) the deposit will be replaced should it become necessary due to inviability, contamination or loss of capability to function n the manner described in the specification.

In addition, the identifying information set forth in 37 CFR 1.809(d) should be added to the specification, See 37 CFR 1.803-37 CFR 1.809 for additional explanations of these requirements.

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by Reference AW, McQuillen et al.

Application Control Number: 09 886,254

Page 7

Art Unit: 1651

The disclosure of the reference teaches a microorganism that is considered to be within

the scope of the claimed inventions absent a showing to the contrary, see the following:

It is well settled that if a reference reasonably teaches a product which is identical or

substantially identical or are produce by identical or substantially identical process, the PTO can

require an applicant to prove that the prior art products do not inherently possess the characteristics

of his claimed product. A rationale given for shifting the burden of going forward to applicant is that

the PTO does not possess the facilities to manufacture or to obtain and compare prior art products,

see In re Brown, 459 F.2d 531, 535,173 USPQ 685, 688 (CCPA 1972); In re Best, 562 F.2d 1252,

1255,195 USPQ 430, 433-434 (CCPA 1977).

7. No claim is allowed.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Lilling whose telephone number is (703) 308-4242 or SPE Michael Wityshyn whose telephone

2034 and fax number is (703) 308-4242 or SPE Michael Wityshyn whose telephone number is (703) 308-4743. Examiner can be reached Monday-Thursday from about 5:30 A.M. to about 3:00 P.M. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this

application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703)

308-0196.

H.J.Lilling: HJL (703) 308-2034

Art Unit **1651** 

February 25, 2002

Dr. Herbert J. Lilling Primary Examiner

Group 1600 Art Unit 1651